



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. MAHESH BALAGI 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. MAHESH BALAGI 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.92 %	25 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	78.13 %	21.88 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.08 %	21.88 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.83 %	26.04 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.96 %	23.96 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	80.21 %	17.71 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	75 %	22.92 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	79.17 %	17.71 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	75 %	21.88 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	76.04 %	20.83 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =76

- **75.83%** percent and **21.98%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **2.19%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. MAHESH BALAGI 2022-2023



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. S V SOGI 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. S V SOGI 2022-2023


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	79.17 %	19.79 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	79.17 %	18.75 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.08 %	21.88 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.08 %	22.92 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.92 %	27.08 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	69.79 %	28.13 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	78.13 %	21.88 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.92 %	25 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	79.17 %	19.79 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.96 %	23.96 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =98

- **75.94%** percent and **22.92%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **1.15%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report
STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. S V SOGI 2022-2023



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON SMT. SAROJA HIREMATH 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON SMT. SAROJA HIREMATH 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.83 %	26.04 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	64.58 %	35.42 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.96 %	26.04 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	69.79 %	28.13 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	80.21 %	16.67 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.67 %	31.25 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.83 %	26.04 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.92 %	23.96 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	62.5 %	30.21 %	7.29 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.75 %	30.21 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =87

- **70.1%** percent and **27.4%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **2.5%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON SMT. SAROJA HIREMATH 2022-2023



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON MISS. KAVYA YALAGI 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON MISS. KAVYA YALAGI 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.5 %	26.25 %	1.25 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.5 %	22.5 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	78.75 %	21.25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.75 %	28.75 %	2.5 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	75 %	25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.75 %	26.25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.75 %	26.25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	78.75 %	21.25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.25 %	27.5 %	1.25 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.75 %	31.25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =154

- **73.88%** percent and **25.63%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **0.5%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON MISS. KAVYA YALAGI 2022-2023



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON MS CHAITRA GOUNDALKAR 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON MS CHAITRA GOUNDALKAR 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70 %	28.75 %	1.25 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.5 %	27.5 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.75 %	25 %	1.25 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.75 %	26.25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.25 %	33.75 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65 %	35 %	0 %	0 %	0 %


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	75 %	25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.75 %	30 %	1.25 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.75 %	26.25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	75 %	25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =82

- **71.38%** percent and **28.25%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **0.38%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON MS CHAITRA GOUNDALKAR 2022-2023



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON SMT. AKSHATA JADHAV 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON SMT. AKSHATA JADHAV 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.5 %	22.5 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	76.25 %	23.75 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.5 %	27.5 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	75 %	25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.75 %	31.25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.25 %	28.75 %	0 %	0 %	0 %


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70 %	30 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70 %	30 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.5 %	27.5 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.5 %	27.5 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =82

- **72.63%** percent and **27.38%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **0%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON SMT. AKSHATA JADHAV 2022-2023



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON AISHWARYA M PATIL 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON AISHWARYA M PATIL 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	86.44 %	11.86 %	1.69 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.88 %	27.12 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	76.27 %	22.03 %	1.69 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	81.36 %	16.95 %	1.69 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.97 %	22.03 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.88 %	27.12 %	0 %	0 %	0 %


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.88 %	27.12 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.19 %	28.81 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	69.49 %	28.81 %	1.69 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.19 %	27.12 %	1.69 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =82

- **75.25%** percent and **23.9%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **0.85%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON AISHWARYA M PATIL 2022-2023



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON B U TALAWAI 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON B U TALAWAI 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.97 %	22.03 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	74.58 %	25.42 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	64.41 %	35.59 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	84.75 %	15.25 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.19 %	28.81 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.19 %	28.81 %	0 %	0 %	0 %


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	74.58 %	25.42 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	74.58 %	25.42 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.19 %	28.81 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.88 %	27.12 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =82

- **73.73%** percent and **26.27%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **0%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON B U TALAWAI 2022-2023



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON SMT. P B DANAWADE 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON SMT. P B DANAWADE 2022-2023


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	64.41 %	33.9 %	1.69 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	86.44 %	13.56 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	67.8 %	28.81 %	3.39 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	81.36 %	18.64 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	69.49 %	28.81 %	1.69 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	64.41 %	33.9 %	1.69 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	74.58 %	23.73 %	1.69 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	69.49 %	30.51 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.19 %	28.81 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	74.58 %	23.73 %	1.69 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =154

- **72.37%** percent and **26.44%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **1.19%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report
STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON SMT. P B DANAWADE 2022-2023



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD


Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S L PATIL 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S L PATIL 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.38 %	29.79 %	3.83 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.51 %	25.11 %	6.38 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.11 %	30.21 %	4.68 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	62.98 %	31.49 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	61.28 %	32.77 %	5.96 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.38 %	30.21 %	3.4 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	63.83 %	28.94 %	7.23 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.11 %	31.06 %	3.83 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.53 %	27.66 %	6.81 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	62.55 %	34.04 %	3.4 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =254

- **64.77%** percent and **30.13%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **5.11%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S S GALIMATH 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S S GALIMATH 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.51 %	26.81 %	4.68 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.91 %	24.26 %	3.83 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.51 %	28.09 %	3.4 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.49 %	24.68 %	3.83 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.91 %	24.68 %	3.4 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.19 %	21.7 %	5.11 %	0 %	0 %


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.11 %	30.21 %	4.68 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	67.66 %	26.81 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.77 %	22.98 %	4.26 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.06 %	24.26 %	4.68 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =254

- **70.21%** percent and **25.45%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **4.34%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S S GALIMATH 2022-2023



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD


Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S T NIRVANI 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S T NIRVANI 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	62.55 %	34.47 %	2.98 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	67.66 %	27.23 %	5.11 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.77 %	25.53 %	1.7 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	62.55 %	35.32 %	2.13 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.94 %	25.53 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	64.68 %	28.51 %	6.81 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.21 %	27.66 %	2.13 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.11 %	28.94 %	5.96 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.53 %	29.79 %	4.68 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.09 %	26.81 %	5.11 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =254

- **66.81%** percent and **28.98%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **4.21%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.



Print



HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

Feedback Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON S S PATIL 2022-2023
Feedback Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON S S PATIL 2022-2023

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.06 %	26.38 %	2.55 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.53 %	29.79 %	4.68 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.94 %	25.96 %	5.11 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.51 %	27.23 %	4.26 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.51 %	28.51 %	2.98 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	62.98 %	33.62 %	3.4 %	0 %	0 %


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.38 %	29.36 %	4.26 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.38 %	28.51 %	5.11 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.62 %	22.13 %	4.26 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.64 %	25.96 %	3.4 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =254

- **68.26%** percent and **27.74%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **4%** percent students where **2** that organization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON S S PATIL 2022-2023



Print