

FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. S V SOGI 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. S V SOGI 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	72.92	25 %	2.08	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	75 %	21.88	3.13	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	72.92	23.96	3.13	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
4.	Interest generated by the teacher	71.88	27.08	1.04	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader	67.71	31.25	1.04	0 %	0 %
	perspective	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course	62.5 %	34.38	3.13	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to	69.79	30.21	0 %	0 %	0 %
	motivate outside class discussion	%	%			
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	68.75	29.17	2.08	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	73.96	23.96	2.08	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	69.79	25 %	5.21	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 70.52% percent and 27.19% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- 2.29% percent students where 2 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. S V SOGI 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S T NIRVANI 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S T NIRVANI 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	57.87 %	38.72 %	3.4 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	62.13 %	32.77 %	5.11	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.51 %	29.36 %	2.13 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	57.02 %	40.43 %	2.55 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	61.7 %	32.34 %	5.96 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	57.45 %	34.89 %	7.66 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to	64.68	32.34	2.98	0 %	0 %
	motivate outside class discussion	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	62.13	31.49	6.38	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	58.3 %	36.17	5.53	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	62.13	32.34	5.53	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 61.19% percent and 34.09% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- 4.72% percent students where 2 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S T NIRVANI 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S S GALIMATH 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S S GALIMATH 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	65.96	28.51	5.53	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	69.36	26.38	4.26	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	65.53	29.79	4.68	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
4.	Interest generated by the teacher	68.51	25.96	5.53	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader	70.21	25.96	3.83	0 %	0 %
	perspective	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course	68.51	23.83	7.66	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to	59.57	34.04	6.38	0 %	0 %
	motivate outside class discussion	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	65.11	28.51	6.38	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	68.09	24.26	7.66	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	68.09	25.96	5.96		0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 66.89% percent and 27.32% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **5.79%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S S GALIMATH 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON S S PATIL 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON S S PATIL 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.51 %	28.51	2.98	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	60.43	33.62 %	5.96 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	64.68 %	28.94	6.38	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.11 %	29.79 %	5.11	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	64.26 %	31.06 %	4.68	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	61.28	35.32 %	3.4 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion	63.4 %	31.06 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		70	70		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	62.98	31.49	5.53	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	68.94	26.38	4.68	0%	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	68.09	27.66	4.26	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 64.77% percent and 30.38% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- 4.85% percent students where 2 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON S S PATIL 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S L PATIL 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S L PATIL 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	61.7 %	33.19	5.11	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	62.13	28.94	8.94	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good				0%	
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	57.87	35.74	6.38	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
4.	Interest generated by the teacher	60.85	33.62	5.53	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader	57.87	35.32	6.81	0 %	0 %
	perspective	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course	61.28	34.89	3.83	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to	59.57	32.77	7.66	0 %	0 %
	motivate outside class discussion	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	61.28	34.47	4.26	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	60.85	30.21	8.94	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	58.3 %	37.87	3.83	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 60.17% percent and 33.7% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **6.13%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S L PATIL 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON SMT. P B DANAWADE 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON SMT. P B DANAWADE 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	57.63	37.29	5.08	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	81.36	16.95	1.69	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	57.63	37.29	5.08	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
4.	Interest generated by the teacher	66.1 %	30.51	3.39	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader	62.71	32.2 %	5.08	0 %	0 %
	perspective	%		%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course	57.63	38.98	3.39	0 %	0 9
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to	62.71	32.2 %	5.08	0 %	0 %
	motivate outside class discussion	%		%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	59.32	32.2 %	8.47	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%		%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	66.1 %	32.2 %	1.69	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent			%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	64.41	33.9 %	1.69	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 63.56% percent and 32.37% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- 4.07% percent students where 2 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON SMT. P B DANAWADE 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. MAHESH BALAGI 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. MAHESH BALAGI 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good	67.71 %	30.21 %	2.08	0 %	0 %
	2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory					
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	75 %	23.96 %	1.04	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.83 %	25 %	4.17 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.67 %	31.25 %	2.08	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	67.71 %	29.17 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	78.13 %	20.83	1.04 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to	68.75	28.13	3.13	0 %	0 %
	motivate outside class discussion	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	77.08	21.88	1.04	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	69.79	28.13	2.08	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	73.96	25 %	1.04	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 71.56% percent and 26.35% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **2.08%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON DR. MAHESH BALAGI 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON SMT. SAROJA HIREMATH 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON SMT. SAROJA HIREMATH 2021-2022


68.75 % 62.5 %	27.08 %	4.17 %	0 %	0 %
		%		
62.5 %				
62.5 %				
62.5 %				
62.5 %				
62.5 %				
	36.46	1.04	0 %	0 %
	%	%		
			0%	
70.83	28.13	1.04	0 %	0 %
%	%	%		
66.67	29.17	4.17	0 %	0 %
%	%	%		
75 %	18.75	6.25	0 %	0 %
	%	%		
59.38	36.46	4.17	0 %	0 %
%	%	%		

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to	68.75	27.08	4.17	0 %	0 %
	motivate outside class discussion	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	67.71	26.04	6.25	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	58.33	33.33	8.33	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	67.71	31.25	1.04	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 66.56% percent and 29.38% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- 4.06% percent students where 2 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 1st Year ON SMT. SAROJA HIREMATH 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON DR VANAMALA MOTE 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON DR VANAMALA MOTE 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	62.5 %	33.75	3.75	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	72.5 %	27.5 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good				% 0 % %	
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	67.5 %	30 %	2.5 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory				0 %	
4.	Interest generated by the teacher	63.75	33.75	2.5 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%			
	3 - Very Good				0%	
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader	65 %	33.75	1.25	0 %	0 %
	perspective		%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course	70 %	28.75	1.25	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion	65 %	33.75 %	1.25 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		70	90		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	68.75	27.5 %	3.75	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%		%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	68.75	28.75	2.5 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%			
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	56.25	40 %	3.75	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 66% percent and 31.75% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- 2.25% percent students where 2 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON DR VANAMALA MOTE 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON MS CHAITRA GOUNDALKAR 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON MS CHAITRA GOUNDALKAR 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	63.75	33.75	2.5 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%			
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	58.75	37.5 %	3.75	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	70 %	28.75	1.25	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
4.	Interest generated by the teacher	57.5 %	38.75	3.75	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader	58.75	40 %	1.25	0 %	0 %
	perspective	%		%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course	63.75	36.25	0 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%			
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to	68.75	30 %	1.25	0 %	0 %
	motivate outside class discussion	%		%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	57.5 %	38.75	3.75	0 %	0 %
	the courses		%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	67.5 %	31.25	1.25	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	67.5 %	32.5 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	0.13 /0	02.3 /0	0 70	0 /0	0 /0
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 63.38% percent and 34.75% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- 1.88% percent students where 2 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON MS CHAITRA GOUNDALKAR 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON SMT. AKSHATA JADHAV 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON SMT. AKSHATA JADHAV 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	63.75	33.75	2.5 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%			
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	71.25	28.75	0 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%		0 %	
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	67.5 %	31.25	1.25	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory				0 %	
4.	Interest generated by the teacher	66.25	31.25	2.5 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%		0%	
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader	62.5 %	33.75	3.75	0 %	0 %
	perspective		%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course	68.75	30 %	1.25	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good			-		
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
	0 - Offsatisfactory					

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion	62.5 %	36.25 %	1.25 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	61.25	37.5 %	1.25	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%		%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	70 %	28.75	1.25	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	67.5 %	31.25	1.25	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 66.13% percent and 32.25% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **1.63%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 2nd Year ON SMT. AKSHATA JADHAV 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON B U TALAWAI 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON B U TALAWAI 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	64.41	33.9 %	1.69	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	67.8 %	30.51 %	1.69	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	57.63 %	42.37 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	79.66 %	20.34	0 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	61.02 %	38.98	0 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	64.41	35.59 %	0 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion	67.8 %	30.51 %	1.69	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		90	70		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	67.8 %	32.2 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
	the courses					
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	64.41	35.59	0 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%			
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	59.32	38.98	1.69	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 65.42% percent and 33.9% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **0.68%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON B U TALAWAI 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON DAWOOD HAWALDAR 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON DAWOOD HAWALDAR 2021-2022


Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	71.19	27.12	1.69	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	64.41	33.9 %	1.69	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	61.02	32.2 %	6.78	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
4.	Interest generated by the teacher	71.19	25.42	3.39	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader	74.58	25.42	0 %	0 %	0 %
	perspective	%	%			
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course	55.93	35.59	8.47	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to	67.8 %	30.51	1.69	0 %	0 %
	motivate outside class discussion		%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	62.71	33.9 %	3.39	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%		%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	64.41	32.2 %	3.39	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	64.41	27.12	8.47	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 65.76% percent and 30.34% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **3.9%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON DAWOOD HAWALDAR 2021-2022







FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON SMT. AKSHATA JADHAV 2021-2022
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON SMT. AKSHATA JADHAV 2021-2022

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you)	67.8 %	30.51	1.69	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent		%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility)	77.97	22.03	0 %	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%			
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher	69.49	28.81	1.69	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
4.	Interest generated by the teacher	59.32	37.29	3.39	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader	62.71	35.59	1.69	0 %	0 %
	perspective	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course	64.41	33.9 %	1.69	0 %	0 %
•	4 - Excellent	%		%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to	64.41	35.59	0 %	0 %	0 %
	motivate outside class discussion	%	%			
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of	50.85	47.46	1.69	0 %	0 %
	the courses	%	%	%		
	4 - Excellent					
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback	66.1 %	32.2 %	1.69	0 %	0 %
	4 - Excellent			%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					
10.	Overall rating	62.71	35.59	1.69	0 %	0 %
-01	4 - Excellent	%	%	%		
	3 - Very Good					
	2 - Good					
	1 - Satisfactory					
	0 - Unsatisfactory					

- 64.58% percent and 33.9% percent of the students 4 and 3 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- 1.53% percent students where 2 that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK LLB 3rd Year ON SMT. AKSHATA JADHAV 2021-2022

