HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

ESTD: 1883

FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S V SOGI 2017-2018
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S V SOGI 2017-2018

| Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.08 %	22.92 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	78.13 %	20.83 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	83.33 %	16.67 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.08 %	22.92 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	76.04 %	23.96 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.96 %	25 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	79.17 %	20.83 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	76.04 %	23.96 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	83.33 %	16.67 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	80.21 %	17.71 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =156

- **78.44%** percent and **21.15%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **0.42%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S V SOGI 2017-2018

- 3 (21.15%)
- 2 (0.42%)
- 1 (0.00%)
- 0 (0.00%)
- 4 (78.44%)

Print

Developed By: VTECH CODERS

HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

ESTD: 1883

FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S T NIRVANI 2017-2018
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S T NIRVANI 2017-2018

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.38 %	30.21 %	3.4 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	69.36 %	25.53 %	5.11 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.62 %	24.26 %	2.13 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	62.55 %	34.89 %	2.55 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	67.23 %	26.81 %	5.96 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.11 %	27.23 %	7.66 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.21 %	26.81 %	2.98 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.81 %	26.81 %	6.38 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.96 %	28.51 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.51 %	25.96 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =197

- **67.57%** percent and **27.7%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **4.72%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S T NIRVANI 2017-2018

3 (27.70%)
2 (4.72%)
1 (0.00%)
0 (0.00%)
4 (67.57%)

Print

Developed By: VTECH CODERS

HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

ESTD: 1883

FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACKON SMT. P B DANAWADE 2017-2018
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACKON SMT. P B DANAWADE 2017-2018

| Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.92 %	25 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	83.33 %	15.63 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	79.17 %	16.67 %	4.17 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	67.71 %	30.21 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	76.04 %	20.83 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	84.38 %	14.58 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.08 %	19.79 %	3.13 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	85.42 %	13.54 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	80.21 %	17.71 %	2.08 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	80.21 %	18.75 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =187

- **78.65%** percent and **19.27%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **2.08%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report
STUDENTS FEEDBACKON SMT. P B DANAWADE 2017-2018

3 (19.27%)
2 (2.08%)
1 (0.00%)
0 (0.00%)
4 (78.65%)

CanvasJS.com

Print

Developed By: VTECH CODERS

HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

ESTD: 1883

FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S L PATIL 2017-2018
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S L PATIL 2017-2018

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.21 %	24.68 %	5.11 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.62 %	17.45 %	8.94 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.11 %	28.51 %	6.38 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.51 %	25.96 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.38 %	26.81 %	6.81 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.49 %	24.68 %	3.83 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.09 %	24.26 %	7.66 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.19 %	22.55 %	4.26 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.64 %	20.43 %	8.94 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.21 %	25.96 %	3.83 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =197

- **69.74%** percent and **24.13%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **6.13%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report
STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S L PATIL 2017-2018

3 (24.13%)
2 (6.13%)
1 (0.00%)
0 (0.00%)
4 (69.74%)

CanvasJS.com

Print

Developed By: VTECH CODERS

HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

ESTD: 1883

FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S S GALIMATH 2017-2018
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S S GALIMATH 2017-2018

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.91 %	22.55 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	77.45 %	18.3 %	4.26 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.91 %	23.4 %	4.68 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	75.74 %	18.72 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	74.47 %	21.7 %	3.83 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	76.17 %	16.17 %	7.66 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	69.36 %	24.26 %	6.38 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.34 %	21.28 %	6.38 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	73.19 %	19.15 %	7.66 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	78.72 %	15.32 %	5.96 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =197

- **74.13%** percent and **20.09%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **5.79%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON DR. S S GALIMATH 2017-2018

- 3 (20.09%)
- 2 (5.79%)
- 1 (0.00%)
- 0 (0.00%)
- 4 (74.13%)

Print

HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

ESTD: 1883

FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON S S PATIL 2017-2018
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON S S PATIL 2017-2018

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	71.06 %	25.96 %	2.98 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	63.83 %	30.21 %	5.96 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.38 %	27.23 %	6.38 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.09 %	26.81 %	5.11 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.09 %	27.23 %	4.68 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.09 %	28.51 %	3.4 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	65.53 %	28.94 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	67.23 %	27.23 %	5.53 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	72.34 %	22.98 %	4.68 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.21 %	25.53 %	4.26 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =197

- **68.09%** percent and **27.06%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **4.85%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON S S PATIL 2017-2018

3 (27.06%)
2 (4.85%)
1 (0.00%)
0 (0.00%)
4 (68.09%)

Print

Developed By: VTECH CODERS

Developed By: VTECH CODERS

HURAKADLI AJJA LAW COLLEGE DHARWAD

ESTD: 1883

FeedBack Topic:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON SHRI PANCHAGOUDA M. MUDIGOUDAR 2017-2018
FeedBack Detail:	STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON SHRI PANCHAGOUDA M. MUDIGOUDAR 2017-2018

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
1.	Knowledge base of the teacher (as perceived by you) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.75 %	27.08 %	4.17 %	0 %	0 %
2.	Communication Skills(in terms of articulation and Comprehensibility) 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	62.5 %	36.46 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %
3.	Sincerity/Commitment of the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	70.83 %	28.13 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %
4.	Interest generated by the teacher 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	66.67 %	29.17 %	4.17 %	0 %	0 %
5.	Ability to integrate course material with environment/other issues to provide a broader perspective 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	75 %	18.75 %	6.25 %	0 %	0 %
6.	Ability to integrate across the course/draw upon other course 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	59.38 %	36.46 %	4.17 %	0 %	0 %

Sl No.	Feedback Parameters	4	3	2	1	0
7.	Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class includes availability of the teacher to motivate outside class discussion 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	68.75 %	27.08 %	4.17 %	0 %	0 %
8.	Ability to design quizzes/examinations assignments/ projects to test understanding of the courses 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	67.71 %	26.04 %	6.25 %	0 %	0 %
9.	Provision of sufficient timely feedback 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	58.33 %	33.33 %	8.33 %	0 %	0 %
10.	Overall rating 4 - Excellent 3 - Very Good 2 - Good 1 - Satisfactory 0 - Unsatisfactory	67.71 %	31.25 %	1.04 %	0 %	0 %

No of Feedback Received =52

- **66.56%** percent and **29.38%** percent of the students **4** and **3** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.
- **4.06%** percent students where **2** that orgnization/structure of the syllabus is well suited for learners.

Feedback Report

STUDENTS FEEDBACK ON SHRI PANCHAGOUDA M. MUDIGOUDAR 2017-2018

- 3 (29.38%)
- 2 (4.06%)
- 1 (0.00%)
- 0 (0.00%)
- 4 (66.56%)

CanvasJS.com

Print

Developed By: VTECH CODERS

Developed By: VTECH CODERS